

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.      |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/769,246   | 01/30/2004      | Lucian H. Lyall III  | WILBU.64041             | 8165                  |  |
| 27629  | 7590 09/05/2006 |                      | EXAM                    | INER                  |  |
| FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP<br>200 OCEANGATE, SUITE 1550 |                 |                      | ALEXANDER               | . ALEXANDER, REGINALD |  |
|  | CH, CA 90802    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER          |  |
|  | ,               |                      | 1761                    |                       |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006 |                       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | <u>~</u>   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/769,246   | LYALL, LUCIAN H.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Reginald L. Alexander  | 1761   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14   | August 2006.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,12 and 17-20</u> is/are pending in t   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,12 and 17-20</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.  | ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.  | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin   | ner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | Examiner. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie  | nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Applicate iority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  | ate  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | (8) 5) Notice of Informal F<br>6) Other:   | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/769,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Additional prior art references have been made available to the Examiner. Due to this prior art a rejection has been made to the claims. Since applicant was not aware of this prior art the finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Drobeck.

Drobeck discloses the brewing of a beverage by storing plural (intermittent) flow profiles (brew full, brew half) in a memory accessible by a controller 44, the profiles extending without a predetermined finish and stopping only when a predetermined volume of infusing liquid has been introduced (col. 5, lines 20-47); and providing a selector 68, 70 to allow a user to choose from among the plurality of profiles.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drobeck in view of Willi.

Willi discloses that it is known in the art to provide a recipe storing memory to save specific instructions that override global settings based upon the type of coffee brewed.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Drobeck with the memory taught in Willi, in order to brewing of different types of beverages without the entry to the controller of the brewing parameters required by that type of beverge.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is allowed.

Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,246 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rla 31 August 2006 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761